**Sample Objection Letters**

If you would like to object to the proposal of 120 new houses being built on the fields off Watford Rd then we would encourage you to write your own letter in your own words. This development will affect every resident of Crick and everyone will have their own views of how they will be affected.

However, if you would like to see what an objection letter looks like or would like a template to tailor to your own views then why not send one or more of our objection letters below.

**Who can object?** – Each person that lives in your home can send an objection letter, you do not have to live in Crick to object.

**How many letters can I send?** – Each person can send as many different types of objection letters as they like covering different aspects of the development.

When do they have to be sent by? This is a bit confusing! If you have received a letter then it is recommended that you respond 21 days from the date on the letter but the yellow notices say 21 days from 14th April. To be on the safe side then by the end of April.

Where are they sent to?

Mr. Eamon McDowell,

Planning and Building control,

Daventry District Council,

Lodge Rd,

Daventry NN11 4FP

Or if you go to the Daventry District website and search the planning application by DA/2015/0233 you can follow the links and comment online

 Don’t forget each letter **must** have your name and address on it and it must be signed (unless you send it by email.

**General Letter of Objection**

Mr. Eamon McDowell
Planning & Building Control,
Daventry District
Council,
Lodge Road,
Daventry
NN11 4FP

19 April 2015

Dear Mr. McDowell,

**Outline application for residential development for up to 120 dwellings including access (all other matters reserved)**

**Ref: DA/2015/0233**

I am writing to object to outline planning application DA/2015/0233 because the village infrastructure cannot cope with a development in this location or of this size. The following points are of concern to me:

This proposal is outside the village boundary and at one of its furthest extremities. This will create further reliance upon the car to access the village facilities e.g. Co-op, Village Hall, school, churches, Post Office. Traffic will need to travel along High St, which has very little off road parking and has dangerous bends at both ends. As everyone in the village can testify, the High Street, Main Rd, Church St junction is a nightmare, in part due to the position of the Co-Op which has only 3 car parking spaces. On a Monday it is chaotic when the bin lorry is trying to manoeuver up the wrong side of the High St (due to parked vehicles) and has to negotiate a stream of oncoming traffic which can include heavy goods vehicles and delivery lorries. The infrastructure of this village cannot support any further increase in traffic. This is putting the safety of all road uses and pedestrians at risk. Section 30 of the NPPF encourages development that reduces congestion.

The proposed entrance to the site is also a subject of concern. There is only one vehicular access to the site which will serve 120 houses. It is positioned on a steep road along which motorists regularly speed: hence the need for the permanent speed indicator. Furthermore, the entrance is only just inside the 30mph zone having dropped from 60mph only a few yards previously. This is against section 32 of the NPPF which requires safe and suitable access to a site.

I also understand that Crick Primary School will be at capacity with the current Barratt and DWH developments and cannot be extended on the current site to accommodate an increased intake. With the recent approval of developments in surrounding villages, linked schools are also near or at capacity making education provision a significant problem. As such, the proposals do not comply with policies INF1 of the JCS or section 72 of the NPPF which requires sufficient school places to service existing and future development.

Crick is a historic village with nearly 50 listed buildings, several being within close proximity of this site. The fields themselves are good examples of ancient Ridge and Furrow, which should be preserved. They also provide open views out of the village which are referred to in the Crick Village Design Statement.

There is significant objection to these proposals amongst residents of Crick and for the above reasons the proposed development should be refused.

Yours sincerely

Name:

Address: